

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,693	06/20/2003	Michael E. Leman	WEAT/0389	6706
36735	7590 12/07/2005		EXAMINER	
PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, L.L.P. 3040 POST OAK BOULEVARD, SUITE 1500			KRAMER, DEAN J	
HOUSTON,	,	E 1300	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3652	

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	10/600,693	LEMAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dean J. Kramer	3652			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 N</u>	<u>ovember 2005</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 15-39 and 41-44 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 15-28,42 and 43 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 29-39 and 44 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 41 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any accomplicated may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ol	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office Ac	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/600,693 Page 2

Art Unit: 3652

1

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/21/05 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 29-34, 36-39, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Palmer (5,580,114) in view of Roark (3,208,788).

Palmer shows an embodiment in Figures 5-8 comprising a top sub (52), a housing (60) having an inclined inner surface (84), gripping members (58,80) having outer surfaces (82), and a piston (72,74) slidably coupled to the gripping members such that when actuated by fluid pressure, the gripping members are disengaged from an item. The Palmer patent does not specifically disclose teeth or "wickers" along the inner surface of its gripping members.

Application/Control Number: 10/600,693 Page 3

Art Unit: 3652

However, Roark shows well tool having a plurality of gripping slips (57) with wickers (56) along their inner surfaces to tightly engage the outer surface of a tube (66) to be retrieved.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide wickers along the inner surface of Palmers gripping members similar to that shown in Roark in order to more securely engage the outer surface of a smooth-walled pipe or the like.

4. Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Palmer in view of Roark as applied to claim 29 above, and further in view of Taylor (5,765,638).

Taylor shows a gripping tool substantially similar to Palmer and Roark, but Taylor tool also contains a seal ring (20) for engaging the outer surface of the item being retrieved.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide a seal ring on the modified Palmer tool, as was presented above in section 3, as taught by Taylor in order to seal the inner moving parts of the tool once an item is gripped by the gripping members.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 15-28, 42, and 43 are allowed.
- 6. Claim 41 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3652

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dean J. Kramer whose telephone number is (571) 272-6926. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs., Fri. (7:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis can be reached on (571) 272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dean J. Kramer Primary Examiner Art Unit 3652

12/5/05

djk 12/5/05